

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/519,730	MAYER, BERND	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dean O. Takaoka	2817	

All Participants:

(1) Dean O. Takaoka.

(2) Herbert F. Ruschman.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 December 2006

Time: 2:00p

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102

Claims discussed:

1-15

Prior art documents discussed:

Au-Yeung

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was the position of the Examiner that Au-Yeung continued to anticipate claims 1-14 however claims 15-22 were found allowable over the prior art. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 1-14 in order to place the remaining claims in condition for allowance. It was noted claim 15 comprised a typographical error and it was agreed to make corrections by Examiner's amendment. The Examiner has advised the Applicant that the European reference EP0276582 listed in the IDS of December 28, 2004 still has not been received and has not been considered.